arXiv:1110.3290v1 [physics.gen-ph] 13 Oct 2011

K-T Phase-Transition Revisit And Quantum Turbulence

Tong Chern[†]
Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
P. O. Box 918(4), 100049 Beijing, China

[†] *tongchen@mail.ihep.ac.cn*

Aiming to address the quantum turbulence at two dimensions, we revisit the celebrated Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition by utilizing the path-integaral formulation of the Abelian-duality at two dimensions.

November, 2011

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. KT Phase Transition and Quantum Turbulence 2
  2.1. Field Theory Discussion 2
  2.2. Abelian Duality 4
  2.3. 1-Vertex Contribution 5
  2.4. Quantum Turbulence 6

1. Introduction

The present paper will revisit the celebrated Berezinsky-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) phase transition [1] aiming to address the quantum turbulence at two dimensions. For our purpose, we'll utilize the path-integaral formulation of the Abelian-duality at two dimensions, which is developed in [2] .

In 1973 two articles appeared at the same time. S. Coleman's paper [3] shows that: the continuous symmetry of two-dimensional quantum field theory is not spontaneously broken. This is not difficult to prove: If a continuous symmetry spontaneously broken, there must be massless Nambu-Goldstone boson states in the physical spectrum, which is contradictory to that, in two dimensional quantum field theory, there are no any physical local operator can create massless states. The latter is because, from the spectrum representation of two point correlation function, you can know that any two-dimensional massless states will contribute a non-positive definite item $-C\log(x^2)$, thereby undermining the positivity of the two point function of physical local operator (so any such local operator must be un-physical).

In fact, in statistical physics, even a few years ago [4] [5] Mermin, Wagner and Hohenberg have discussed the corresponding conclusions of Coleman's theorem. In their discussions, thermodynamical fluctuation will replace the location of the quantum fluctuations, destroy any effects of continuous symmetry breaking.

In addition, the well-known Landau theory of phase transitions tells people: continuous phase transition and spontaneous symmetry breaking is always closely linked. Therefore, according to people's understanding at that time, Coleman's theorem roughly means that for a two-dimensional system only with continuous symmetry, phase transition can not occur!

In 1973, another interesting article study such a system appeared. In this classic article, Kosterlitz and DJ Thouless studied a $SO(2)$ Heisenberg spin model on lattice plane. They found a continuous phase transition! They found that this system has a critical temperature, when system temperature is lower than it there is a long range order, when higher than the critical temperature only shot range order can exist! (This system has been studied by Soviet physicist Berezinsky in 1970, but the article appeared in the Soviet Union's journals.)

This seems contradict to S Coleman's theorem, what's wrong? who is right?

Later, it was clear, S Coleman and BKT both right. Two-dimensional continuous symmetry is not spontaneously broken, while in the $SO(2)$ model, there is indeed a long range order to a short range order continuous phase transition. The key point is that the continuous phase transition is not necessarily accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking!

In fact, the $SO(2)$ model on two-dimensional plane has stable vertex excitations (with topological quantum numbers). At low temperatures vertex and anti-vertex pair up, those have little effect to the thermodynamic behavior of the system. One need only consider the phase fluctuations, the long range behavior of the system consists of a free-field theory description, between two phases (or between two $SO(2)$ spins), there is a long-range correlation. However, when the temperature goes up to a critical point, inverse temperature $\beta = 1/T$ times the energy required to unlock the corresponding vertex pair is lower than Entropy. Therefore, vertex and anti-vertex does not tend to twinning, the un-twinning of vertex anti-vertex pair causes the phase transition. Above the critical temperature, the gas of vertex and anti-vertex produced a Debye screening effect, undermine the long range order. At the critical point, the fluctuations of vertex is marginal, the system will flow to a non-trivial fixed point, associated with algebraic long-range order.

2. KT Phase Transition and Quantum Turbulence

2.1. Field Theory Discussion

Since we only interest long-distance behavior of the system, we can develop a continuous field theory description to the BKT phase transition, to achieve this, we'll utilize the Abelian-duality for Abelian field theory at two dimensions, which is develop in [2] .

Firstly, we rewrite the $SO(2)$ spin field $J(x)$ as $J = \exp(iA)$, where $A(x)$ is a continuous scalar field. We ignore the vertexes at first, then the system partition function can be give as an appropriate path integral of A field, the weight of this path integral is,

$$W[A] = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4\pi T}\int_{\mathrm{R}^2} ||dA||^2\right], \tag{2.1}$$

where T is temperature, dA is the differential of A, and $||dA||^2 = (dA)\wedge *(dA)$, $*$ is Hodge star operation at two dimensions.

Now, we will add the vertex contribution. When there is a vertex singularity at point p, we'll take an infinite small circle C around p, we cut out the inner of C – thus the vertex singularity is cut out – and replace the path integration of the field configuration over it by a small constant g, which marks the contribution of the inner of a vertex. Then we take the configuration of A field along C (which is determined by the vertex) as boundary condition of the field configuration at the outer. Finally, let us perform the path integral at the outer of C with vertex boundary condition. The total contribution of a vertex is the multiplication of this outer path integration and factor g .

In fact, from the definition of a 1-vertex (which carries topological quantum number 1), the configuration of A along C can be simply characterized as: The value of A will increase 2π after walking around C counterclockwise. If what we are considering is anti-vertex, then the value of should be reduced 2π, not increase. In another word, the value of A should increase -2π for anti-vertex case.

For the vertex with topological quantum number 2, 2-vertex, we can image that it is composed of two tightly closed 1-vertexes (to form a single 2-vertex). However, because vertex - vertex is always mutually repulsion, so the two composite 1-vertex always tend to separate from each other, the separate configuration have lower energy and thus higher integration weight. Therefore, we'll firstly ignore all higher vertexes with topological quantum numbers greater than one, but only consider the contributions of well separated 1-vertexes, and 1-anti-vertexes (-1-vertexes), they form a kind of dilute gas.

In principle, for each of vertex dilute gas field configuration we can calculated the corresponding path integral, the calculation is simply a generalization of the above calculation to single 1-vertex. We will add all the contributions, including the field configuration of no vertex, all add up, end up with the partition function of the system:

$$\sum_{m,n}\frac{1}{m!}\frac{1}{n!}g^{m+n}\int[\mathcal{D}A]_{m.n}W[A], \tag{2.2}$$

Where $\int[\mathcal{D}A]_{m,n}$ stands for the path integration for the A field configuration with the dilute gas of m 1-vertex and n -1-vertex, the position coordinates of the vertexes and anti-vertexes are also integrated. And the factor $\frac{1}{m!}\frac{1}{n!}$ comes from the permutation of identical particles. factor g^{m+n} comes from the inner configurations of m vertexes and n anti-vertexes.

So far, it seems all is well, the left work is to carry out the path integration with 1-vertex boundary condition.

2.2. Abelian Duality

We begin from the configuration without vertex. In short, we imitate the Fourier transformation to transform the path integral of A field to path integral of some B field, by noticing that the path integration $\int[\mathcal{D}A]W[A]$ is equivalent to

$$Z = \int [\mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}B] \exp\left[\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\mathrm{R}^2}(F_B \wedge dA)\right] W[A], \tag{2.3}$$

where $F_B = dB$. One can see that the exponential factor is automatically trivial by integrating by part. Thus path integral over B only bring us a trivial infinite constant. which can regularized easily.

Now we exchange the order of A, B functional integral. Note that we are considering is a topological trivial A field (without vertexes), the A functional integral and functional integral of $F_A = dA$ is basically the same. Therefore, we first perform the integration of dA, easily calculated, the result is

$$Z' = \int [\mathcal{D}B] \exp\left[-\frac{T}{4\pi}\int ||dB||^2\right]. \tag{2.4}$$

In other words, with out vertexes the partition function $\int[\mathcal{D}A]W[A]$ is completely equivalent to Z' (2.4), in terms of functional integration over field B.

Now, if you want to calculate. say $\int[\mathcal{D}A](W[A]dA)$. The same transformation as above will tells us that it equivalent to calculate

$$\int [\mathcal{D}B](iT * dB) \exp\left[-\frac{T}{4\pi}\int ||dB||^2\right]. \tag{2.5}$$

With these preparations, we now can perform the path integration with 1-vertex boundary condition.

2.3. 1-Vertex Contribution

We now calculate the contribution of a single 1-Vertex, assuming that this 1-vertex is at p point. Remember? In A field description, a single 1-vertex is a infinite small circle C around point p, with the inner of C cut out. And A field will increase 2π after counterclockwise walking along C a circle. This is the boundary condition of A path integration with a single 1-vertex located at p. One should integrate over p to calculate the total contribution of a single 1-vertex.

We start from Z (2.3), but now the path integral for A has the 1-vertex boundary condition, we denote this functional integral as $Z[C]$.

Now will first integrate out A field in functional integration $Z[C]$, all the calculation is the same as the previous considered case (without vextex), excerpt for the exponential factor $\exp\left[\frac{i}{2\pi}\int dB\wedge dA\right]$, now it will contribute a boundary term,

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2\pi}B(p)\int_C dA\right] \tag{2.6}$$

Since A will increase 2π around C, we can end the result

$$Z'[C]=\int[\mathcal{D}B]\exp[iB(p)]\exp\left[-\frac{T}{4\pi}\int_{\mathrm{R}^2}||dB||^2\right]. \tag{2.7}$$

After integrating over p, we will get the contribution of a single 1-vertex.

This calculation tells us that in B field description, vertex can be created out by using the local field operator $\exp[iB(x)]$, this insertion of this operator will create a 1-vertex at x. Likewise, to create -1-vertex, one should use local operator $\exp[-iB(x)]$.

We can now calculate out the total contributions of dilute gas of 1-vertex and -1-vertex, in terms of B field description. By noticing (2.2) we can see that the contribution of this dilute gas is a factor

$$\exp\left[g\int_{\mathrm{R}^2}\cos(B)\right], \tag{2.8}$$

where the integration over R^2 comes from the integration over the position of vertexes (and anti-vertexes).

Now, in terms of B field description, the total partition function, include vertexes contributions (in dilute gas approximation), can be calculate as,

$$ZI=\int[\mathcal{D}B]\exp\left[-\frac{T}{4\pi}\int_{\mathrm{R}^2}||dB||^2+g\int_{\mathrm{R}^2}\cos(B)\right], \tag{2.9}$$

where we use the symbol ZI to remind us that we have made 1-vertex and dilute gas approximation.

2.4. Quantum Turbulence

We now turn to the BKT phase transition and quantum turbulence. From the expression of ZI, one can see that: at extremely low temperatures, phase fluctuations are very large, they erased the contributions of vertexes. We can completely ignore the vertex at long distance. The spin correlation $\langle J(x)J(0)\rangle$ can be easily calculated with A field description

$$\langle \exp[iA(x)] \exp[-iA(0)]\rangle \sim \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^{T/2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Wile at high temperature, phase rigidity is large, vertex term contribution is very important, and B field are classically fixed at π. One can expanse B field around π, to see that the system is gaped, the order is short range.

The critical point can be determined by determine when the vertex fluctuation $\cos(B)$ becomes marginal. This can be seen by the standard field theory of B description

$$1/(2T) = 2,\ T = 1/4. \tag{2.11}$$

When $T > 1/4$, $\cos(B)$ is relevant, the system has energy gap, the renormalization group will flow to trivial at long distance. When $T < 1/4$, $\cos(B)$ is irrelevant, the renormalization group will flow to a free boson CFT at long distance. When $T = 1/4$, the critical point, $\cos(B)$ is a marginal items, when $g = 0$, the system will flow to a Z_2 orbifold model of the $c = 1$ CFTs, and $g\cos(B)$ is the deformation along orbifold branch.

As mentioned above, we have made 1-vertex and -1-vertex approximation. One should expect that di-vertex contribution will be a similar $\cos(2B)$ term. When the temperature is raised to 1, $\cos(2B)$ will also become relevant. Therefore, our 1-vertex approximation only work when T is less than 1. When the temperature is high enough, all the vertexes, with different topological quantum numbers will become importance, di-vertex may be separated into two different 1-vertex, two may form a single di-vertex, etc., etc., such a process will continues and continues at long-distance. For example, if you stimulate a high topological quantum vertex. The vertex will separate and separate, all the way to, say, k-vertex, the fluctuations become relevant. At this point from 1-vertex to k-vertex, all their fluctuations, transformations, and interactions will be very important. Let us imagine, if k is a big number, large enough to make the k-vertex into a macro stuff, what happens? A little bit like the turbulence it? This is the quantum turbulence.

References

[1] JM Kosterlitz, DJ Thouless, Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics, Vol. 6 pages 1181-1203 (1973).

[2] E. Witten, Dynamics of Quantum Field Theory, in Quantum Field Theory for Mathematician (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).

[3] Sidney Coleman, There are no Goldstone bosons in two dimensions, Commun. Math. Phys. 31, 259 (1973).

[4] ND Mermin, H. Wagner, Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One-or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 1133-1136 (1966).

[5] PC Hohenberg, Existence of Long-Range Order in One and Two Dimensions, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967).